

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION No 2098 of 1997

in

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2521 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and Sd/-

MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA Sd/-

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

JYOTIBA D RATHOD

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT, KS SUGATHAN OR HIS SUCCESSOR IN OFFICE

Appearance:

MR NS SHETH for Petitioner
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 1
MR JAYANT PATEL for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and
MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 24/03/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per: B.C.Patel,J.)

Mr.Sheth, the learned advocate for the petitioner states that the petitioner is residing at Valsad and the respondent is unnecessarily entering into the correspondences at Ahmedabad. Had the draft/cheque would have been sent at Valsad address, this situation would not have arisen. There is controversy about these facts. It is stated by the respondents that telegram was sent at Ahmedabad address and the petitioner has acted accordingly.

2. Without entering into this, we simply direct the respondents to send the amount, which the petitioner is entitled, at the Valsad address as mentioned in the letter of Accountant General, Rajkot, copy of which is annexed at Annexure-B (same address is also found at cause title of the petition). The amount shall be forwarded by tomorrow or day-after tomorrow without fail.

3. In view of this, this application is not required to be entertained and it is disposed of accordingly. Notice discharged.

radhan/